

High order numerical simulation of the underdamped Langevin diffusion

James Foster

University of Bath (joint with Terry Lyons and Harald Oberhauser)

Outline

1 Introduction

- ② Deriving an ODE approximation of ULD
- ③ Error analysis of the ODE approximation
- Deriving an SDE approximation of ULD
- 6 Conclusion
- 6 References

What is the underdamped Langevin diffusion?

The underdamped Langevin diffusion (ULD) is a model for molecular dynamics and is given by the stochastic differential equation (SDE):

$$dx_t = v_t dt,$$

$$dv_t = -\gamma v_t dt - u \nabla f(x_t) dt + \sqrt{2\gamma u} dW_t,$$
(1)

where

- $x, v \in \mathbb{R}^d$ will represent the *position* and *momentum* of a particle
- $f: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ is a *scalar potential* that the particle moves around in
- $\gamma > 0$ is the *friction* coefficient
- u > 0 is the *gradient* coefficient (often just set to u = 1)
- $W = \{W_t\}_{t \ge 0}$ is a standard *d*-dimensional Brownian motion

$$dW_t \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I_d \, dt)$$

Applications of the underdamped Langevin diffusion

"ULD = Newton's second law + frictional forces + stochastic forces"

$$dx_t = v_t dt,$$

$$dv_t = \underbrace{-\gamma v_t dt}_{\text{friction}} - \underbrace{u \nabla f(x_t) dt}_{\text{gradient of the potential / target}} + \underbrace{\sigma dW_t}_{\text{noise}},$$

Under mild assumptions on *f*, the SDE admits a unique strong solution that is ergodic with stationary distribution $\pi(x, v) \propto e^{-f(x) + \frac{1}{2u} ||v||^2}$ [1].

In addition to being a fundamental model in statistical mechanics [2], ULD has recently been applied to sampling problems in data science as simulating ULD allows one to generate samples from $\pi(x) \propto e^{-f(x)}$.

(technically, samples are "close" to π in an optimal transport sense [3])

In practice, (1) cannot be solved exactly, so we must approximate ULD.

Langevin MCMC as part of a larger ecosystem



James Foster (University of Bath)

Numerical simulation of ULE

Outline

1 Introduction

- 2 Deriving an ODE approximation of ULD
- **3** Error analysis of the ODE approximation
- Deriving an SDE approximation of ULD
- 6 Conclusion
- 6 References

A high order ODE-based approximation of ULD

One strategy for discretizing the underdamped Langevin diffusion is

1 Replace the Brownian motion W by a piecewise linear path \widehat{W} .

2 Along each piece of \widehat{W} , we approximate the SDE (1) using the ODE:

$$d\widehat{x}_t = \widehat{v}_t \, dt, \tag{2}$$

$$d\widehat{v}_t = -\gamma \widehat{v}_t \, dt - u \nabla f(\widehat{x}_t) \, dt + \sigma \, d\widehat{W}_t, \tag{3}$$

where $\sigma := \sqrt{2\gamma u}$.

3 In each step, we discretize (2) and (3) using a suitable ODE solver.

Note that we will use the notation $W_{s,t} := W_t - W_s$ and $\widehat{W}_{s,t} := \widehat{W}_t - \widehat{W}_s$.

The stochastic Taylor expansion of ULD

When *f* is three times differentiable, ULD admits the Taylor expansion:

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_t \\ v_t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_s \\ v_s \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} v_s \\ -\gamma v_s - u \nabla f(x_s) \end{pmatrix} (t-s) + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \sigma \end{pmatrix} W_{s,t}$$

$$+ (\cdots) \int_s^t W_{s,r} dr + (\cdots) (t-s)^2 + (\cdots) (t-s)^3$$

$$+ (\cdots) \int_s^t \int_s^r W_{s,v} dv dr + (\cdots) \int_s^t \int_s^r \int_s^v W_{s,w} dw dv dr$$

$$+ (\cdots) \int_s^t \int_s^u \int_s^v (r-s) dW_r dv du + R_{s,t},$$

$$(4)$$

where (\cdots) are terms involving the vector fields and their derivatives.

Theorem (Stochastic Taylor expansion, Theorem 5.5.1 of [10]) If $\mathbb{E}[||\nabla f(x_s)||_2^4] < \infty$, $\mathbb{E}[||v_s||_2^8] < \infty$ and $\nabla^k f$ is Lipschitz continuous for k = 1, 2, 3 then $\mathbb{E}[||R_{s,t}||_2^2]^{\frac{1}{2}} \sim O((t-s)^4)$.

James Foster (University of Bath)

The Taylor expansion of the ODE approximation

When f is three times differentiable, (2, 3) admits the Taylor expansion:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \widehat{x}_{t} \\ \widehat{v}_{t} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \widehat{x}_{s} \\ \widehat{v}_{s} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \widehat{v}_{s} \\ -\gamma \widehat{v}_{s} - u \nabla f(\widehat{x}_{s}) \end{pmatrix} (t-s) + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \sigma \end{pmatrix} \widehat{W}_{s,t}$$
(5)

$$+ (\cdots) \int_{s}^{t} \widehat{W}_{s,r} dr + (\cdots) (t-s)^{2} + (\cdots) (t-s)^{3}$$
$$+ (\cdots) \int_{s}^{t} \int_{s}^{r} \widehat{W}_{s,v} dv dr + (\cdots) \int_{s}^{t} \int_{s}^{r} \int_{s}^{v} \widehat{W}_{s,w} dw dv dr$$
$$+ (\cdots) \int_{s}^{t} \int_{s}^{u} \int_{s}^{v} (r-s) d\widehat{W}_{r} dv du + \widehat{R}_{s,t},$$

where (\cdots) are the same terms appearing in the expansion (4) of ULD.

Remark

We want to construct \widehat{W} to match certain iterated time integrals of W.

James Foster (University of Bath)

Numerical simulation of ULD

Piecewise linear discretization of Brownian motion

Based on these expansions, we want a piecewise linear path \widehat{W} so that

$$\widehat{W}_{s,t} = W_{s,t},\tag{6}$$

$$\int_{s}^{t} \widehat{W}_{s,r} dr = \int_{s}^{t} W_{s,r} dr,$$
(7)

$$\int_{s}^{t} \int_{s}^{r} \widehat{W}_{s,v} \, dv \, dr = \int_{s}^{t} \int_{s}^{r} W_{s,v} \, dv \, dr.$$
(8)



James Foster (University of Bath)

Numerical simulation of ULE

20 July 2023

9/40

Piecewise linear discretization of Brownian motion

To reduce computational cost, we construct \widehat{W} using "vertical pieces"!

Along vertical pieces, dt = 0 so the ODE becomes $d\hat{x}_t = 0$, $d\hat{v}_t = \sigma d\hat{W}_t$.



Generating iterated time integrals of Brownian motion

Let $\{t_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ be a sequence of times with $t_0 = 0$ and $t_{n+1} > t_n$. We define

$$\begin{split} & \mathcal{H}_{n} := t_{n+1} - t_{n}, \\ & \mathcal{W}_{n} := \mathcal{W}_{t_{n+1}} - \mathcal{W}_{t_{n}}, \\ & \mathcal{H}_{n} := \frac{1}{h_{n}} \int_{t_{n}}^{t_{n+1}} \left(\left(\mathcal{W}_{t} - \mathcal{W}_{t_{n}} \right) - \frac{t - t_{n}}{h_{n}} \mathcal{W}_{n} \right) dt, \\ & \mathcal{K}_{n} := \frac{1}{h_{n}^{2}} \int_{t_{n}}^{t_{n+1}} \left(\frac{1}{2} h_{n} - (t - t_{n}) \right) \left(\left(\mathcal{W}_{t} - \mathcal{W}_{t_{n}} \right) - \frac{t - t_{n}}{h_{n}} \mathcal{W}_{n} \right) dt. \end{split}$$

Lemma (Direct consequence of Theorem 2.2 in [11])

The random vectors W_n , H_n , K_n are independent and distributed as

$$W_n \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I_d h_n), \quad H_n \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \frac{1}{12}I_d h_n\right), \quad K_n \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \frac{1}{720}I_d h_n\right).$$

 $h \cdot - t \cdot t$

Generating iterated time integrals of Brownian motion



Generating iterated time integrals of Brownian motion



Generating a piecewise linear approximation of W

It is straightforward to obtain the iterated integrals from (W_n, H_n, K_n) .

Lemma (Iterated integrals and polynomial coefficients of W)

$$\int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} W_{t_n,t} dt = \frac{1}{2} h_n W_n + h_n H_n,$$
$$\int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} \int_{t_n}^t W_{t_n,s} ds dt = \frac{1}{6} h_n^2 W_n + \frac{1}{2} h_n^2 H_n + h_n^2 K_n.$$

Definition (Piecewise linear discretization of Brownian motion)

We define \widehat{W} on each $[t_n, t_{n+1}]$ as the piecewise linear path connecting

$$(t_n, W_{t_n}), (t_n, W_{t_n} + H_n + 6K_n), (t_{n+1}, W_{t_{n+1}} + H_n - 6K_n), (t_{n+1}, W_{t_{n+1}})$$

in said order.

From the lemma, we can check that \widehat{W} satisfies properties (6), (7), (8).

James Foster (University of Bath)

An ODE method for underdamped Langevin dynamics

Definition (Shifted ODE method for ULD [12])

We define a numerical solution $\{(\tilde{x}_n, \tilde{v}_n)\}$ by setting $(\tilde{x}_0, \tilde{v}_0) := (x_0, v_0)$ and for each $n \ge 0$, defining $(\tilde{x}_{n+1}, \tilde{v}_{n+1})$ as

$$\begin{pmatrix} \widetilde{x}_{n+1} \\ \widetilde{v}_{n+1} \end{pmatrix} := \begin{pmatrix} \overline{x}_1^n \\ \overline{v}_1^n \end{pmatrix} - (H_n - 6K_n) \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \sigma \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\{(\overline{x}_t^n, \overline{v}_t^n)\}_{t \in [0,1]}$ solves the following Langevin-type ODE,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} \overline{x}^n \\ \overline{v}^n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \overline{v}^n \\ -\gamma \overline{v}^n - u \nabla f(\overline{x}^n) \end{pmatrix} h_n + (W_n - 12K_n) \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \sigma \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (9)$$

with initial condition

$$\begin{pmatrix} \overline{x}_0^n \\ \overline{v}_0^n \end{pmatrix} := \begin{pmatrix} \widetilde{x}_n \\ \widetilde{v}_n \end{pmatrix} + (H_n + 6K_n) \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \sigma \end{pmatrix}.$$

James Foster (University of Bath)

Outline

1 Introduction

- ② Deriving an ODE approximation of ULD
- **3** Error analysis of the ODE approximation
- Deriving an SDE approximation of ULD
- 6 Conclusion
- 6 References

Theorem (Convergence of shifted ODE with fixed step sizes [12])

Suppose that the function $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ is m-strongly convex,

$$f(y) \ge f(x) + \left\langle \nabla f(x), y - x \right\rangle + \frac{1}{2}m\|x - y\|_2^2, \tag{10}$$

and twice continuously differentiable with an M-Lipschitz continuous gradient ∇f ,

$$\|\nabla f(x) - \nabla f(y)\|_2 \le M \|x - y\|_2,$$
(11)

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Let $\{(x_t, v_t)\}$ and $\{(\tilde{x}_n, \tilde{v}_n)\}$ be defined from the same Brownian motion, $(x_0, v_0) \sim \pi$ and $\tilde{v}_0 = v_0 \sim \mathcal{N}(0, uI_d)$. Then there exists $c_0, c_1 > 0$ so that

$$\|\widetilde{x}_n - x_{t_n}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{P})} \le c_0 e^{-n\alpha h} \|\widetilde{x}_0 - x_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{P})} + c_1 \sqrt{d} h^{\frac{3}{2}},$$
(12)

Theorem (Convergence of the shifted ODE method (continued))

where for a random vector X and $p \ge 1$, the norm $||X||_{L^p(\mathbb{P})}$ is defined as

$$\|X\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{P})} := \mathbb{E}[\|X\|_{2}^{p}]^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

and the rate of contraction α is given by

$$\alpha = \frac{\left(\gamma^2 - u\mathcal{M}\right) \vee u\mathcal{M}}{\gamma} \, .$$

In addition, if $\nabla^2 f$ is Lipschitz continuous then there exists $c_2 > 0$ so that

$$\|\widetilde{x}_{n} - x_{t_{n}}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{P})} \leq c_{0} e^{-n\alpha h} \|\widetilde{x}_{0} - x_{0}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{P})} + c_{2} dh^{\frac{5}{2}}.$$
 (13)

If $\nabla^2 f$ and $\nabla^3 f$ are Lipschitz continuous then there exists $c_3 > 0$ so that

$$\|\widetilde{x}_n - x_{t_n}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{P})} \le c_0 e^{-\frac{1}{2}n\alpha h} \|\widetilde{x}_0 - x_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{P})} + c_3 d^{\frac{3}{2}} h^3.$$
(14)

Sketch Proof.

Firstly, we use a change of variable to rewrite the ODE approximation as

$$\begin{pmatrix} \widetilde{x}_{n+1} \\ \widetilde{v}_{n+1} \end{pmatrix} := \begin{pmatrix} \widehat{x}_{t_{n+1}}^n \\ \widehat{v}_{t_{n+1}}^n \end{pmatrix} + 12 \mathcal{K}_n \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \sigma \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\{(\widehat{x}_t^n, \widehat{v}_t^n)\}_{t \in [t_n, t_{n+1}]}$ solves the following Langevin-type ODE,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} \widehat{x}^n \\ \widehat{v}^n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \widehat{v}^n + \sigma (H_n + 6K_n) \\ -\gamma (\widehat{v}^n + \sigma (H_n + 6K_n)) - u \nabla f(\widehat{x}^n) \end{pmatrix} h_n + \frac{W_n - 12K_n}{h_n} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \sigma \end{pmatrix}$$

with initial condition $(\widehat{x}_{t_n}^n, \widehat{v}_{t_n}^n) := (\widetilde{x}_n, \widetilde{v}_n).$

This will help us establish local error estimates at times within $[t_n, t_{n+1}]$.

James Foster (University of Bath)

Numerical simulation of ULD



Sketch Proof. (Global bounds on the diffusion process)

• Since $(x_0, v_0) \sim \pi$ we have $(x_t, v_t) \sim \pi$ for all $t \ge 0$. In particular,

$$v_t \sim \mathcal{N}(0, uI_d),$$
 (15)

and

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\|\nabla f(x_t)\|_2^2\right] \le Md, \tag{16}$$
$$\mathbb{E}\left[\|\nabla f(x_t)\|_2^4\right] \le 3M^2d^2, \tag{17}$$

for all $t \ge 0$ (see Lemma 2 in [13] and Theorem C.11 in [12]).

- Since we start the ODE approximation from (x_{t_n}, v_{t_n}) , we can use the above to estimate errors without imposing boundedness on ∇f .
- We note that the strong convexity assumption is not required here.

Sketch Proof. (Crude local error estimates)

• We also define

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_{n+1}' \\ v_{n+1}' \end{pmatrix} := \begin{pmatrix} \widehat{x}_{t_{n+1}}^n \\ \widehat{v}_{t_{n+1}}^n \end{pmatrix} + 12 \mathcal{K}_n \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \sigma \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\{(\widehat{x}_{t}^{n}, \widehat{v}_{t}^{n})\}_{t \in [t_{n}, t_{n+1}]}$ is the solution to the Langevin-type ODE used previously, but with initial condition $(\widehat{x}_{t_{n}}^{n}, \widehat{v}_{t_{n}}^{n}) := (x_{t_{n}}, v_{t_{n}}).$

• Using just the Lipschitz regularity of ∇f , we can obtain the estimates

$$|x_t - \hat{x}_t^n||_{L^p(\mathbb{P})} \le C_1(p)\sqrt{d} (h_n)^{\frac{1}{2}} (t - t_n),$$
(18)

$$\|v_t - \widehat{v}_t^n\|_{L^p(\mathbb{P})} \le C_2(p)\sqrt{d} \, (t - t_n)^{\frac{1}{2}},\tag{19}$$

for $p \in \{2, 4, 8\}$ and $t \in [t_n, t_{n+1}]$.

Sketch Proof. (Local error estimates)

- Now that we have $L^{p}(\mathbb{P})$ bounds for the SDE and ODE solutions, we can Taylor expand $\{(x_{t}^{n}, v_{t}^{n})\}_{t \in [t_{n}, t_{n+1}]}$ and $\{(\widehat{x}_{t}^{n}, \widehat{v}_{t}^{n})\}_{t \in [t_{n}, t_{n+1}]}$ and estimate the remainder terms.
- Using the Lipschitz regularity of ∇f , we can show, for $p \in \{2, 4, 8\}$,

$$\|x_{t_{n+1}} - x'_{n+1}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{P})} \le C_3(p)\sqrt{d} \,(h_n)^{\frac{7}{2}},\tag{20}$$

$$\|v_{t_{n+1}} - v'_{n+1}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{P})} \le C_4(p)\sqrt{d} (h_n)^{\frac{5}{2}}.$$
 (21)

• In addition, from the Lipschitz regularity of ∇f and $\nabla^2 f$, we have

$$\|v_{t_{n+1}} - v'_{n+1}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{P})} \le C_5 d(h_n)^{\frac{7}{2}}.$$
(22)

• Again, we note that the strong convexity of *f* is not required here.

Theorem (Exponential contractivity of the ODE approximation)

Suppose that f is m-strongly convex and ∇f is M-Lipschitz continuous. Let $\lambda \in [0, \frac{1}{2}\gamma)$ and define $\eta := \gamma - \lambda$. Then for $n \ge 0$, we have

$$\left\| \begin{pmatrix} \left(\lambda \widetilde{x}_{n+1} + \widetilde{v}_{n+1}\right) - \left(\lambda x'_{n+1} + v'_{n+1}\right) \\ \left(\eta \widetilde{x}_{n+1} + \widetilde{v}_{n+1}\right) - \left(\eta x'_{n+1} + v'_{n+1}\right) \end{pmatrix} \right\|_{2} \\ \leq e^{-\alpha h_{n}} \left\| \begin{pmatrix} \left(\lambda \widetilde{x}_{n} + \widetilde{v}_{n}\right) - \left(\lambda x_{t_{n}} + v_{t_{n}}\right) \\ \left(\eta \widetilde{x}_{n} + \widetilde{v}_{n}\right) - \left(\eta x_{t_{n}} + v_{t_{n}}\right) \end{pmatrix} \right\|_{2},$$

almost surely, where

$$\alpha = \frac{\left(\eta^2 - u\mathcal{M}\right) \vee \left(u\mathcal{M} - \lambda^2\right)}{\gamma - 2\lambda}.$$

Proof

Follows by essentially the same argument applied to ULD in [14].

James Foster (University of Bath)

Numerical simulation of ULD

24/40

Convergence in the 2-Wasserstein metric

| Numerical | Assumptions on the | Number of steps to achieve |
|-------------------|-------------------------|---|
| method | strongly convex f | an error of $W_2(\widetilde{x}_n, e^{-f}) \leq \varepsilon$ |
| Shifted ODE | Lipschitz gradient | $\mathcal{O}ig(\sqrt[3]{d}/arepsilon^{rac{2}{3}}ig)$ |
| [12] | + Lipschitz $ abla^2 f$ | $\mathcal{O}ig(d^{rac{2}{5}}/arepsilon^{rac{2}{5}}ig)$ |
| | + Lipschitz $ abla^3 f$ | $\mathcal{O}\left(\sqrt{d}/\varepsilon^{rac{1}{3}} ight)$ |
| OBABO splitting | Lipschitz gradient | $\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{d}/arepsilon)$ |
| [15, 16] | + Lipschitz $ abla^2 f$ | $\mathcal{O}\left(\sqrt{d}/\sqrt{\varepsilon}\right)$ |
| Randomized | Lipschitz gradient | $\mathcal{O}ig(\sqrt[3]{d}/arepsilon^{rac{2}{3}}ig)$ |
| midpoint [17, 18] | | |
| Left-point | Lipschitz gradient | $\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{d}/arepsilon)$ |
| method [3] | | |

Discretization of the shifted ODE (SORT method)

Definition (Shifted ODE with Runge-Kutta Three)

$$\begin{split} V_n^{(1)} &:= V_n + \sigma \left(H_n + 6K_n \right), \\ X_n^{(1)} &:= X_n + \left(\frac{1 - e^{-\frac{1}{2}\gamma h_n}}{\gamma} \right) V_n^{(1)} - \left(\frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2}\gamma h_n} + \frac{1}{2}\gamma h_n - 1}{\gamma^2} \right) u \nabla f(X_n) \\ &+ \sigma \left(\frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2}\gamma h_n} + \frac{1}{2}\gamma h_n - 1}{\gamma^2 h_n} \right) (W_n - 12K_n), \\ X_{n+1} &:= X_n + \left(\frac{1 - e^{-\gamma h_n}}{\gamma} \right) V_n^{(1)} + \sigma \left(\frac{e^{-\gamma h_n} + \gamma h_n - 1}{\gamma^2 h_n} \right) (W_n - 12K_n) \\ &- \left(\frac{e^{-\gamma h_n} + \gamma h_n - 1}{\gamma^2} \right) \left(\frac{1}{3} u \nabla f(X_n) + \frac{2}{3} u \nabla f(X_n^{(1)}) \right), \\ V_{n+1} &:= e^{-\gamma h_n} V_n^{(1)} + \sigma \left(\frac{1 - e^{-\gamma h_n}}{\gamma h_n} \right) (W_n - 12K_n) - \sigma \left(H_n - 6K_n \right) \\ &- u h_n \left(\frac{1}{6} e^{-\gamma h_n} \nabla f(X_n) + \frac{2}{3} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\gamma h_n} \nabla f(X_n^{(1)}) + \frac{1}{6} \nabla f(X_{n+1}) \right). \end{split}$$

James Foster (University of Bath)

Outline

1 Introduction

- ② Deriving an ODE approximation of ULD
- ③ Error analysis of the ODE approximation
- Deriving an SDE approximation of ULD
- 6 Conclusion

6 References

A second order SDE approximation of ULD

1. Evaluate ∇f at X_n

2. Compute X_{n+1} by solving the following SDE on $[t_n, t_{n+1}]$:

$$dx_t = v_t dt, dv_t = -\lambda v_t dt - u\nabla f(X_n) dt + \sigma dW_t,$$

with initial value (X_n, V_n) . Computing V_{n+1} gives the left-point method.

3. Evaluate ∇f at X_{n+1}

4. Compute V_{n+1} by solving the following SDE on $[t_n, t_{n+1}]$:

$$dv_t = -\lambda v_t dt - u \Big(\nabla f(X_n) + \frac{t - t_n}{h_n} \big(f(X_{n+1}) - f(X_n) \big) \Big) dt + \sigma dW_t.$$

with initial value V_n .

A second order SDE approximation of ULD

Definition (ULD discretized by linearly interpolating gradients)

$$\begin{aligned} X_{n+1} &:= X_n + \Big(\frac{1 - e^{-\gamma h_n}}{\gamma}\Big)V_n - \Big(\frac{e^{-\gamma h_n} + \gamma h_n - 1}{\gamma^2}\Big)u\nabla f(X_n) \\ &+ \sigma \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} \int_{t_n}^t e^{-\gamma(t-s)}dW_s dt, \end{aligned}$$

$$V_{n+1} := e^{-\gamma h_n} V_n - \left(\frac{1 - (1 + \gamma h_n)e^{-\gamma h_n}}{\gamma^2 h_n}\right) u \nabla f(X_n)$$
$$- \left(\frac{e^{-\gamma h_n} + \gamma h_n - 1}{\gamma^2 h_n}\right) u \nabla f(X_{n+1})$$
$$+ \sigma \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} e^{-\gamma (t_{n+1} - t)} dW_t.$$

Although we only expect a $O(h^2)$ convergence rate, this method has the advantage that it only uses one additional gradient evaluation per step.

James Foster (University of Bath)

Numerical experiment (logistic regression)

- The dataset is *m* pairs of labels $y_i \in \{-1, 1\}$ and features $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$.
- Target density $\pi(\theta) \propto \exp(-f(\theta))$ comes from a logistic regression:

$$f(\theta) = \frac{\delta}{2} \|\theta\|_2^2 + \sum_{i=1}^m \log\left(1 + \exp(-y_i x_i^{\mathsf{T}} \theta)\right),$$

where δ is a regularization parameter which we will set to $\delta = 0.1$.

- German credit data from UCI repository [19] (m = 1000, d = 49).
- Estimate $L^2(\mathbb{P})$ error by simulating chains with step sizes h and $\frac{1}{2}h$:

$$S_{N,n} := \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\| \overrightarrow{\theta}_{N,i}^{h} - \overrightarrow{\theta}_{N,i}^{\frac{1}{2}h} \right\|_{2}^{2}},$$

where we use a fixed time horizon T = 1000 with step size $h = \frac{T}{N}$.

Numerical experiment (logistic regression)



Figure: $S_{N,n}$ computed with n = 100 sample paths using a fixed step size.

Outline

1 Introduction

- ② Deriving an ODE approximation of ULD
- ③ Error analysis of the ODE approximation
- Deriving an SDE approximation of ULD

5 Conclusion

6 References

Conclusion

Shifted ODE method

- First to achieve third order convergence without derivatives of ∇f
- Scales sublinearly with the dimension d
- Allows one to use modern ODE solvers
- No problem with adaptive step sizes
- SORT method (<u>Shifted ODE with Runga-Kutta Three</u>)
 - Practical (two additional gradient evaluations per step)
 - Can empirically demonstrate third order convergence
 - Difficult to analyse!
- Interpolating between gradients
 - Very practical (one additional gradient evaluation per step)
 - Should be possible to establish second order convergence
 - Natural candidate for noisy gradients

Thank you for your attention!

and our preprint can be found at:

J. Foster, T. Lyons and H. Oberhauser, *The shifted ODE method for underdamped Langevin MCMC*, arxiv.org/abs/2101.03446, 2021.

Outline

1 Introduction

- Deriving an ODE approximation of ULD
- ③ Error analysis of the ODE approximation
- Deriving an SDE approximation of ULD
- **6** Conclusion



References I

- G. A. Pavliotis. *Stochastic Processes and Applications*, Springer, New York, 2014.
- W. T. Coffey, Y. P. Kalmykov and J. T. Waldron, *The Langevin Equation: With Applications to Stochastic Problems in Physics, Chemistry and Electrical Engineering*, World Scientifc, 2012.
- X. Cheng, N. S. Chatterji, P. L. Bartlett and M. I. Jordan. Underdamped Langevin MCMC: A non-asymptotic analysis, Proceedings of Machine Learning Research, vol. 75, 2018.
- J. Zhang, A. Mokhtari, S. Sra and A. Jadbabaie. *Direct Runge-Kutta Discretization Achieves Acceleration*, Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 2018.

References II

- X. Li, D. Wu, L. Mackey and M. A. Erdogdu. Stochastic Runge-Kutta Accelerates Langevin Monte Carlo and Beyond, Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 2019.
- Y. Ma, Y. Chen, C. Jin, N. Flammarion and M. I. Jordan. *Sampling can be faster than optimization*, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA, vol. 116, no. 42, 2019.
- Y. Ma, , N. Chatterji, X. Cheng, N. Flammarion, P. Bartlett and M. I. Jordan. *Is There an Analog of Nesterov Acceleration for MCMC?*, https://arxiv.org/abs/1902.00996, 2019.
- S. J. Reddi, S. Kale and S. Kumar. *On the Convergence of Adam and Beyond* Proceedings of the International Conference on Learning Representations (ICLR), 2018.

References III

- M. Welling and Y. W. Teh. *Bayesian Learning via Stochastic Gradient Langevin Dynamics*, Proceedings of the 28th International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML), 2011.
- P. E. Kloeden and E. Platen, *Numerical Solution of Stochastic Differential Equations*, Springer, 1992.
- J. Foster, T. Lyons and H. Oberhauser, *An optimal polynomial approximation of Brownian motion*, SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis, vol. 58, no. 3, pp. 1393–1421, 2020.
- J. Foster, T. Lyons and H. Oberhauser, *The shifted ODE method for underdamped Langevin MCMC*, https://arxiv.org/abs/2101.03446, 2021.

References IV

- A. S. Dalalyan, *Further and stronger analogy between sampling and optimization: Langevin monte carlo and gradient descent,* Proceedings of the 2017 Conference on Learning Theory, 2017.
- A. S. Dalalyan and L. Riou-Durand, *On sampling from a log-concave density using kinetic Langevin diffusions*, Bernoulli, vol. 26, no. 3, pp 1956-1988, 2020.
- Z. Song and Z. Tan. *Hamiltonian Assisted Metropolis Sampling*, https://arxiv.org/pdf/2005.08159, 2020.
- P. Monmarché. High-dimensional MCMC with a standard splitting scheme for the underdamped Langevin diffusion, https://arxiv.org/pdf/2007.05455, 2020.

- R. Shen and Y. T. Lee. The Randomized Midpoint Method for Log-Concave Sampling, Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 2019.
- Y. He, K. Balasubramanian and M. A. Erdogdu. *On the Ergodicity, Bias and Asymptotic Normality of Randomized Midpoint Sampling Method*, Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 2020.
- M. Lichman. *UCI machine learning repository,* https://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml, 2013.